

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,365	08/19/2003	Raymond Guimont	6579-99-1	6579-99-1 4827	
7590 01/04/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Richard R. Michaud			CHOI, ST	CHOI, STEPHEN	
McCormick, Paulding & Huber LLP CityPlace II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
185 Asylum Street Hartford, CT 06103			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0	H
γ	1,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,365	GUIMONT, RAYMOND				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Choi	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•	N.				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2003 is/are:		o by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/10/04</u> . 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/643,365

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species A in the reply filed on 28
 September 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 6-10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6, "the third substrate" lacks positive antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- 5. Claims 1-10 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter. WO 2004/017785 to Orloff discloses the same subject matter being claimed in this application.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schauble (US 4,809,432).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,365 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Schauble discloses all the recited elements of the invention including:

a) a razor head having at least one blade (14);

b) a shaving aid delivery system including a framework with a supply of at

least one shaving aid fluid including a first substrate (66), a second

substrate (36), and a third substrate (12) connected together in a stacked

array wherein a microchannel circuit between the first substrate and the

second substrate (Figure 2), a microfluidic circuit (46), a plurality of outlet

ports (at 48, 50, 52, 54), and a transport system (30);

Regarding claim 15, 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schauble in view of Prochaska (US 6,473970)

Schauble discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the shaving aid selected from the group as claimed. However, Prochaska teaches such a shaving aid is well known in the art (e.g., polyethylene oxide, aloe, or vitamin E). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ such a shaving aid as emollient on the device of Schauble.

Conclusion

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/643,365

Art Unit: 3724

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cataudella, Noujain, and Althaus et al. are cited to show related devices.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

10 December 2004

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER